9

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,410	01/05/2005	Yosuke Egawa	. 040707	2961
23850 7590 09/12/2007 KRATZ, QUINTOS & HANSON, LLP 1420 K Street, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			TOSCANO	TOSCANO, ALICIA
Suite 400 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1712	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		<u></u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/519,410	EGAWA, YOSUKE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alicia M. Toscano	1712			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 September 2007</u>.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Pate			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Priority

Certified translation of the foreign priority received. Effective filing date is 7/8/02.
 The Examiner thusly withdraws the previous rejection and sets forth new grounds below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 1-8, 10 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Terada (US 6326440) in view of Obuchi (JP 2001-049098).

Terada discloses biodegradable films comprising polylactic acid and polyesters. Said composition comprises 80-20% polylactic acid and 20-80% polyester (abstract). Terada further discloses the use of a polyester which has a Tg of less than 0C in order to improve shock and cold resistance (Column 5 Lines 15-17). Terada discloses the thickness of the film to be around 40 micrometers (Column 8 Line 66) however Terada does not include the use of a film thickness of between 100 and 500 microns, as further required by Claim 1.

Obuchi discloses resin compositions comprising a blend of polyester elastomers and polylactic acid (abstract), a composition similar to that of Terada. Said blend is used for various films [0051]. Obuchi discloses the use of films which have a thickness which ranges from 5-1000 microns. The thickness is chosen depeding on the desired end use, wherein a thinner film has more flexibility and can be used for shopping bags,

Art Unit: 1712

garbage bags and the like [0051] and a thicker film can be used for lunch containers, forks, spoons, bottles and the like [0052]. The choice of thickness will result in optimum properties for the intended use.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include in Terada the use of a film thickness range of 5-1000 microns, as taught by Obuchi, in order to form the desired products with superior properties. As the compositional elements are met it is the Examiners position that the crystallization of 45% or less are inherent, thusly all the limitations for claim1 are met.

Further, in claims 1-6 and 12-15, the recitation "for molding" has been given little patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

In the instant case, the preamble merely recites the intended use of the composition, wherein the prior art can meet this future limitation by merely being capable of such intended use.

As the compositional requirements are met the Examiner finds the Tm, degree of crystallization and volume reduction ratio to be inherent in the claims. Thusly, Terada and Obuchi meet the limitations of Claim s 1-6 and 12-15.

Art Unit: 1712

Terada does not disclose molded articles from the biodegradable film as further required by Claims 7, 8 and 10. The film of Terada has superior physically properties such as biodegradability and heat sealability.

Obuchi discloses film resins as set forth above. Said films are further molded by vacuum forming, blow processing and the like [0060]. The selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supported a prima facie obviousness determination in Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp., 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945). See also, In re Leshin, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960) wherein the selection of a known plastic to make a container of a type made of plastics prior to the invention was held to be obvious. As such it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include in Terada the use of the film for vacuum forming, blow processing and the like, as taught by Obuchi, in order to form molded objects with superior biodegradability and heat sealability.

As the compositional requirements are met the Examiner finds the volume reduction ration of 6% or less to be inherent in Claims 5, 7 and 8, thusly all the limitations of said claims are met.

3. Claims 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Terada and Obuchi in further view of Obuchi (US 2002/0002252).

Terada and Obuchi include elements of the invention as set forth above. Terada and Obuchi do not disclose post crystallization of the molded article, as further required by the above claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,410 Page 5

Art Unit: 1712

Obuchi '252 discloses a process for preparing formed items from polyester resins. Said items may be crystallized after processing (abstract). Obuchi '252 discloses that increasing the crystallinity improves the thermal resistance of the item [0015]. Post crystallization is carried out at a temperature in between the glass transition temperature and the melting point of the composition [0137].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include in Terada and Obuchi the use of post crystallization, as taught by Obuchi '252, in order to improve the thermal resistance of the molding article. Since the compositional elements are met it is the Examiner's position that the volume reduction ratio is inherently met by Terada/Obuchi and Obuchi '252.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia M. Toscano whose telephone number is 571-272-2451. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,410 Page 6

Art Unit: 1712

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**TMA** 

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700